

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/776,188	02/12/2004	Peter James Jenkins	08505.0020	3089
22852	7590 09/13/2006		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			PESELEV, ELLI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			
			DATE MAILED: 09/13/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Astion Comments	10/776,188	JENKINS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Elli Peselev	1623
 The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply 	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 A</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 8-24,26-29 and 39-41 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 8-24, 26-29 and 39-41 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to the above claim(s) are subjected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to the above claim(s) are subjected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to the above claim(s) are subjected to by the Examine 10) are subjected 10.	wn from consideration. I. or election requirement. er. eepted or b) \(\subseteq \) objected to by the	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	es have been received. Is have been received in Application If the second is the second in the second is the second in the sec	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Applicant's arguments filed August 24, 2006 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Upon further consideration, the Final Action of March 6, 2006 and the allowance of claims 8-24, 26-29 and 39-41 is hereby withdrawn in order to introduce a new ground of rejection.

Claims 8, 11-24, 26-29 and 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the treatment of diabetes with Gibberellin A3 and Gibberellin A3 and A4/A7 mixture, does not reasonably provide enablement for the treatment of diabetes with Gibberellins of Formula (1). The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

A conclusion of lack of enablement means that, based on the evidence regarding each of the factors below, the specification, at the time the application was filed, would not have taught one skilled in the art how to make and/or use the full scope of the claimed invention without undue experimentation.

(A) The nature of the invention.

Drug discovery is one of the most labor intensive and expensive types of inventions; it can cost over \$500 million to bring a single new drug to market.

(B) The state of the prior art.

The art is unaware of successful treatment of diabetes with chemically analogous compounds.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,188 Page 3

Art Unit: 1623

(C) The predictability or lack thereof in the art.

"In applications directed to inventions in arts where the results are unpredictable, the disclosure of a single species usually does not provide an adequate basis to support generic claims" (see MPEP 2164.03). In the present case, the specification presents data showing the effect on blood glucose levels of Gibberellin A3. Based on the evidence of activity limited to Gibberellin A3, it cannot be predicted what other Gibberellins having diverse structural formulas encompassed by the present claims will have similar effect on blood glucose levels as Gibberellin A3.

(D) The amount of direction or guidance present.

The specification discloses a single specific compound and said compound with A4/A7 mixture which has a blood sugar lowering activity. However, this guidance is not commensurate with the full scope of the claims.

(E) Breadth of the claims.

The claims encompass an immense number of species having significant differences in structural formulas. For example, a compound of Formula (1) wherein R1, R2, R3, R4, R5, R6, R7, R8, R9, R10 and R11 are hydrogens is significantly different structurally from the compound of Formula (I) wherein R1, R2, R3, R5, R7, R8 and R10 are glycosylic ether groups, R4 is C20 alkyl, R6 and R10 are hydroxy groups. R8 is

(F) The quantity of experimentation needed.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,188 Page 4

Art Unit: 1623

Because there is no way to predict a priori which compounds will be active from the specification or chemical structures alone, an extraordinary amount of trial and error experimentation is required to identify the active compounds.

Claims 8-16 and 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the treatment of diabetes, does not reasonably provide enablement for the treatment of complications and associated conditions of diabetes. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

A conclusion of lack of enablement means that, based on the evidence regarding each of the factors below, the specification, at the time the application was filed, would not have taught one skilled in the art how to make and/or the full scope of the claimed invention.

(A) The breadth of the claims.

On page 6 of the specification, lines 20-29, the complications of diabetes and its associated conditions are defined as including obesity, micro- and macro-vascular diseases, nephropathy, neuropathy, eye diseases, diabetic ulcerations and the like.

(B) The amount of direction or guidance present.

The specification fails to provide any guidance as to effectiveness of the claimed methods in treating compications and associated conditions of diabetes.

(C) The present or absence of working examples.

The working example presented on pages 22-23 of the specification, shows that following administration of Gibberellin A3, after 30 days there was observed an increase in body weight. Therefore, there is a good reason to doubt that Gibbellins are effective in treating obesity as encompassed by the present claims.

(D) The quantity of experimentation needed.

Because there is no way to predict for which complications and associated conditions the claimed methods would be effective, an extraordinary amount of trial and error experimentation is required to identify the specific conditions for the treatment of which the claimed methods will be useful.

It has been noted that Applicants previously argued that one of ordinary skill in the art would have known that diabetes and its complications and associated conditions arise from abnormal serum glucose levels. However, there is no evidence that lowering blood sugar in a patient will result in the treatment of such diseases as obesity, micro and macro vascular disease, nephropathy, neuoropathy and eye diseases, once those diseases once those diseases are established.

Claims 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 11-15 are directed to the treatment of Type I and Type II diabetes.

However, there is no known patient having Type I and Type II diabetes. Such terminology as "Type I or Type II diabetes" can be used to overcome the rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,188 Page 6

Art Unit: 1623

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is (571) 272-0659. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia Jiang can be reached on (571) 272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Elli Peselev

ELLI PESELEV RIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200